

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,288	12/31/2003	Tatsuya Kato	SON-2892	8412
23353	23353 7590 12/23/2005		EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			SCHLIE, PAUL W	
	TREET N.W., SUITE 50	01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2186	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/748,288	KATO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Paul W. Schlie	2186	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO ; - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing if patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)☐ <sup>-</sup> 3)☐ <sup>-</sup>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{12/31}$ . This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.  (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		-	
Application	on Papers			
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2 including the content of the content o	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>1</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,288

Art Unit: 2186

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-5 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Miyoshi et al. (5,493,455).

As per claims 1 and 4-5, Miyoshi et al. teaches a tape drive apparatus comprising a storage cassette, itself comprising a mass storage media and a distinct management memory; within which said apparatus may store and/or retrieve either content and/or management data which may itself conditionally determine the access, interpretation, and/or content of either, and/or their validity based upon the constancy between the information stored within their corresponding storage areas (see figures, column 1 lines 56-67, column 2 lines 33-51, column 3 lines 9-26 and 43-47, column 5 lines 4-11, and column 12 lines 42-44). Any potentially remaining claimed limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/748,288

Art Unit: 2186

which have not been explicitly addressed are considered obviously inherent in that taught by Miyoshi et al., and/or not sufficient to patentably distinguish over prior art.

5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyoshi et al. (5,493,455) in further in view of Staar (4,338,664).

As per claims 2-3, being correspondingly dependant on claim 1 as taught by Miyoshi et al., but does not teach that the said management memory may contain specific information identifying its type and/or corresponding recorded format information. However Staar (as also cited by Miyoshi et al. as prior art) teaches this (see figure 16, and column 1 lines 16-33). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the disclosed invention to combine that taught by Miyoshi et al. with that taught by Starr relevant to the claims, for the benefit of enabling a storage cassette type and/or corresponding information format to be determined and thereby utilized by a corresponding tape drive apparatus.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul W. Schlie whose telephone number is 571-272-6765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 517-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,288 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PIERRE BATAILLE PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/21/02